PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 0 1 NOV 2005

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	icant's or agent's file reference TY00M11WO	FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
	national application No. T/IB2004/002385	International filing date (26.07.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (daymonth/year) 29.07.2003		
	national Patent Classification (IPC) or n I R31 <i>1</i> 36	national classification and if	PC			
	icant YOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI K	AISHA et al.				
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this international Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
	a. 🗵 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 11 sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	 sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box. 	ede earlier sheets, but wi e in the international app	nich this Authority con lication as filed, as ind	siders contain an amendment that goes licated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
	b. (sent to the International Less sequence listing and/or tale Box Relating to Sequence	bles related thereto. in c	omputer readable forn	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a n only, as indicated in the Supplemental n Instructions).		
4.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems:			
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the op	inion				
Ì	☐ Box No. II Priority					
		nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability		
ļ	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of		•			
	☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Article 35(2 tations and explanations	2) with regard to noveli supporting such state	ry, inventive step or industrial ment		
	Box No. VI Certain docume	*****				
		in the international app				
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observe	ations on the internation	al application			
Date	of submission of the demand		Date of completion of t	his report		
21.0	03.2005		31.10.2005			
	ne and mailing address of the Internation minary examining authority:	nal	Authorized Officer	Special Patracage,		
_	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5230 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d	Mapp, G Telephone No. +49 89	2399-6057		
L				* Office ext		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002385

	Box	No. I	Basis of the report				
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		This re which i	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language , s the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
		🗆 pub	rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) rnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets with have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>						
	Desc	ription	, Pages				
	1-3, 5-14		as originally filed				
	4, 48	, 4b	received on 21.03.2005 with letter of 18.03.2005				
	Clair	Claims, Numbers					
1-18			filed with telefax on 13.10.2005				
	Drav	vings, S	Sheets				
1/7-7/7		7/7	as originally filed				
		a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.		☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
			description, pages				
			e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs				
			e sequence listing (specify):				
		LI an	y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
		☐ the	e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : y table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :				
			tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				
	~		tem - Granting, Game at att at among phicips may be matera				

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002385

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-18

No: Claims None

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-18

No: Claims None

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-18

No: Claims None

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

1. Cited Document

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: EP-A-0 909 001 (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD; DENSO CORP (JP); MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO LTD) 14 April 1999 (1999-04-14)

2. Subject Matter of Claims 1, 9 and 17

These independent claims disclose a system and method for the control of charging and discharging a battery pack that could be typically found in a hybrid vehicle. Batteries in such packs typically contain strings of cells in series. In a given series of batteries one cell may have a higher or lower state of charge (SOC) than another. This situation leads to a spread of values of state of charge between the various cells.

When the cells are new this spread of SOCs is quite narrow as all the cells are in substantially the same condition, however as the cells age the spread increases.

The problem with this is that when the spread gets too large, a charging system may not detect the fact that the cells are fully charged as the average charge of the cells may be below the fully charged threshold.

3. Closest Prior Art

The closest prior art discloses a system in which the spread of values is accounted for by an iterative system that measures the SOC of each cell and adjusts the threshold for fully charged and discharged accordingly. The problem with this is that the range of movement from fully charged to discharged is continually reduced.

4. Difference between prior art and claims 1, 9 and 17

The present invention seeks to overcome the increase in the spread of SOC values in a series of cell by adopting a preset SOC when the spread of SOC values exceeds a guard value.

5. Technical effect, novelty and inventive step.

The effect of this is to allow accurate determination of SOC and thus to prevent failure discharge and full charge thus allowing the vehicle to make use of the battery and function correctly despite the spread of values of SOC within the pack.

No hint of this particular solution is given in any of the prior art, thus the claims are rendered novel and inventive.